# Prevalence of and attitudes toward eating disorders among female nurses

Ramadan A. Hussein<sup>a,c</sup>, Rania A. Hamed<sup>b</sup>, Yasser M. Raya<sup>a,d</sup>, Mohammad Abdelghania,d and Ahmed H. Hafizc

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, bDepartment of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine for Girls, Alazhar University, Cairo, Egypt, Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina, Almedina Almonawara and description Unit, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Qassim University,

Correspondence to Ramadan A. Hussein, MD Psychiatry, Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina, PO Box 5084, Almedina Almonawara, Saudi Arabia Tel: +966 500 434 804; fax: +966 148 619 210; e-mail: ramadanhus sein72@hotmail.com

Received 31 May 2014 Accepted 24 January 2015

Middle East Current Psychiatry 2016, 23:44-49

#### **Objective**

The aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence of and attitudes toward eating disorders among female nurses.

#### Participants and methods

Female nurses who were under training in Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina constituted the participants of the study.

Nurses were differentiated into two groups: group A, with BMI below 20, and group B with BMI equal to or above 20. Group A and group B were subjected to a two-stage epidemiological study. In the first stage, Eating Attitude Test 26 (EAT 26) and Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh were used. In the second stage, Structured Clinical Interview of DSM-IV (SCID) was administered on nurses who had a score above the cutoff points. **Results** 

Anorexia nervosa was not diagnosed in any nurse (0%). Twelve (3.2%) nurses were diagnosed with bulimia nervosa. Thirteen (3.5%) nurses were diagnosed with binge eating and five (1.4%) nurses were diagnosed with eating disorder not otherwise specified. Both bulimic and oral subscales of EAT 26 were higher in group A than in group B (P<0.001 and <0.05, respectively). No significant difference was found between the two groups in the two subscales of Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh.

#### Conclusion

Among female nurses, bulimia nervosa, binge eating, and eating disorders not otherwise specified were common, whereas anorexia nervosa was not found. This must warrant the development of specific strategies for early detection and proper management.

#### Keywords:

anorexia nervosa, binge eating, bulimia nervosa, female nurses, prevalence

Middle East Curr Psychiatry 23:44-49 © 2016 Institute of Psychiatry, Ain Shams University 2090-5408

# Introduction

Anorexia nervosa is often coupled with a distorted selfimage [1], which may be maintained by various cognitive biases [2] that alter how the affected individual evaluates and thinks about his or her body, food, and eating [3]. People with anorexia nervosa often view themselves as overweight or 'big' even when they are underweight [4]. Anorexia nervosa most often has its onset in adolescence and is more prevalent among adolescent females than among adolescent males. In general, men appear to be more comfortable with their weight and perceive less pressure to be thin than do women [5,6]. Bulimia nervosa is an eating disorder characterized by binge eating and purging, or by consuming a large amount of food in a short amount of time followed by an attempt to rid oneself of the food consumed (purging), typically by vomiting, taking a laxative, diuretic, or stimulant, and/or excessive exercise, because of an extensive concern for body weight [7]. Among women, the lifetime prevalence of anorexia nervosa is 1.7%, that of bulimia nervosa is 0.8%, and that of binge eating disorder is 2.3%. Eating disorders are relatively rare among men [8]. Kamata et al. [9], in their study on 1250 females ranging from high school to college, found the prevalence of binge eating to range from 7.5% among high school students, to 8.3% among college students, to 33.8% among students in a physical education college. Previous research has suggested a raised prevalence of eating disorders among female medical students. There is also a belief commonly held by occupational physicians that there is an increased prevalence of eating disorders among female applicants to nurse training [10].

## Aim

The study aimed to investigate the prevalence of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge eating, and eating disorders not otherwise specified (NOS) among female nurses during the course of their training in Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina and investigate some of their eating attitudes and behavior.

## Participants and methods **Participants**

Young female nurses who were under training in Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina in northwestern Saudi Arabia constituted the participants of our study, which

2090-5408 © 2016 Institute of Psychiatry, Ain Shams University

DOI: 10.1097/01.XME.0000461679.99712.9c

was conducted over a period of 3 years, starting from January 2011 up to the end of December 2013. 'Alamal Psychiatric Complex' is the only psychiatric hospital in the region of Medina receiving about 150 young Saudi female nurses annually for training. After obtaining approval from the local ethics committee of the hospital, nurses were informed about the purpose of the study and asked to participate after giving their written informed consent. They were then subjected to an initial psychiatric interview at the hospital.

#### Exclusion criteria

Nurses were excluded if they had chronic debilitating diseases, persistent upper or lower gastrointestinal diseases, brain tumors, psychotic disorders, or a history of substance misuse. Pregnant nurses and those older than 25 years were also excluded.

#### Measures

BMI was derived by dividing weight in kg by height in m<sup>2</sup> (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The acceptable range for BMI is generally 20–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> [11]. The nurses were divided into two groups according to BMI: group A with BMI below 20 and group B with BMI equal to or above 20. The lower limit of normal BMI was chosen to maximize the liability of detection of anorexia nervosa in group A. Nurses of both groups were subjected to a two-stage epidemiological study.

In the first stage, two screening scales were used. The Eating Attitude Test 26 (EAT 26; Arabic version with high sensitivity and specificity in Saudi culture) and the Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh (BITE; Arabic version with high sensitivity and specificity). The EAT 26 [12] is the most widely used standardized measure of symptoms, and concerns characteristics of eating disorders [13]. The scale consists of 26 items on eating habits with a score of 0-3 for each item. The scale is subdivided into three subscales: a dieting subscale, a bulimic subscale, and a food preoccupation and oral control subscale. The cutoff point was 20 for the total scale [13]. In addition to the 26 items, there were five items on behavioral symptoms of eating with a positive or negative response.

BITE (Arabic version with high sensitivity and specificity) [14] was developed by Henderson and Freeman [15] to detect bulimic episodes and the factors related to cognition and behavior of bulimic individuals [16]. BITE is subdivided into two subscales: the symptom subscale and the severity subscale. The symptom subscale consists of 30 items for assessment of symptoms, eating behavior, and diet, with a score of 0 or 1 for each item and a total score ranging from 0 to 30. Nurses with a score ranging from 10 to 19 are considered to have a somewhat unusual eating behavior but not to meet all of the criteria for the diagnosis of bulimia; those with a score higher than 19 show a high level of eating disorder, and high risks for bulimia nervosa. The severity subscale consisted of three items for assessment of the severity of eating disorders based on their frequency; those with a score equal to or greater than 5 show significant severity [16].

In the second stage, Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnosis of eating disorders according to the criteria of Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th ed. (DSM-IV) (SCID) [17] was administered by two experienced psychiatrists to all nurses who scored 20 or above or responded positive to any of the five behavioral items of EAT 26 or scored 10 or above on the symptom subscale of BITE.

## Statistical analysis

Data analysis and sample size calculations were performed using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS, version 20; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Categorical data were presented as number and percentage and continuous data as mean and SD. Groups were compared using the independent sample t-test for continuous variables. For categorical variables, the  $\chi^2$ -test was used as a test of significance of differences among groups. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

# **Results**

The total number of nurses was 417. Forty-seven (11%) nurses were excluded for various reasons: 10 nurses were older than 25 years; four nurses had psychotic symptoms; five nurses were pregnant; nine nurses refused to participate; and 19 nurses were later excluded from the analysis because of incomplete measures. In all, 370 nurses completed all measures of the study. A total of 164 (44%) nurses were found to have BMI below 20 (group A) and 206 (56%) nurses were found to have BMI equal to or above 20 (group B).

#### Sociodemographic characteristics of two groups

The mean age of group A was  $19.6 \pm 2.2$  years, whereas that of group B was  $20.1 \pm 2.4$  years. Group A was significantly younger than group B (P < 0.05). Fourteen nurses of group A and 18 nurses of group B were married. One nurse each in group A and B was divorced. A total of 149 participants in group A and 187 in group B were single. No significant difference was found between the two groups as regards the marital state. Eleven nurses of group A and 19 of group B had a history of previous psychiatric disorder but no significant difference was found between the two groups (Table 1).

# Eating Attitude Test 26 and its three subscales

As regards the EAT 26 and its three subscales, more than 50% of nurses scored above the cutoff point of 20. Group A had significantly higher scores in both bulimic and oral control subscales compared with group B (P < 0.001 and < 0.05, respectively), but there was no significant difference between the two groups in the dieting subscale score (Table 2).

# Behavioral items of Eating Attitude Test 26

With regard to the behavioral items of EAT 26, there was significant difference between the two groups in three of the five items. Group A resorted to vomiting to control their weight significantly more often compared with group B

Table 1 Some sociodemographic characteristics and history of previous psychiatric disorder in the two groups

Variables	Group A BMI < 20 (n = 164)	Group B $BMI \ge 20$ $(n=206)$	Analysis				
Age (years)	19.6 ± 2.2	20.1 ±2.4)	t=2.08; P<0.05*				
Marital status Single	149 (91)	187 (90.8)	$\chi^2 = 0.02$ ;				
Sirigle	149 (91)	167 (90.6)	$\chi = 0.02,$ P > 0.05				
Married	14 (8.5)	18 (8.7)					
Divorced	1 (0.5)	1 (0.5)					
History of previous psychiatric disorder							
Positive	11 (7)	19 (9)	$\chi^2 = 0.79;$ $P > 0.05$				
Negative	153 (93)	187 (91)					

Values are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD or n (%).

Table 2 Comparison between the two groups according to scores of the three subscales of Eating Attitude Test 26

	Group A BMI<20 (n=164)		Group B BMI $\geq$ 20 ( $n$ =206)			
Subscales	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	Р
Dieting Bulimic Oral control	1 2.6 4.8 1 0.1	11.4 5.9 5.3	1 2.4 2.9 8.8	8.1 3.7 4.8	3.58	>0.05 <0.001* <0.05*

t, Student's test.

(P < 0.001), whereas group B used laxatives and diet pills to control their body weight significantly more often compared with group A (P<0.01). Group B lost more than 20 pounds of their body weight in the last 6 months significantly more often compared with group A (P<0.01). No significant differences were found between the two groups in binge eating and exercising more than 60 min/day (Table 3).

#### Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh and its two subscales

As regards the BITE scale, more than one-fourth of group A and more than one-third of group B scored above 10. There was no significant difference between the two groups in the symptom subscale with respect to high scores ( $\geq 20$ ), medium scores (10–19), and low scores (<10). There was no significant difference between the two groups in the severity subscale either (Table 4).

## Diagnoses according to the criteria of DSM-IV

In the second stage, interviews were conducted on 221 (60%) of 370 students. According to the criteria of DSM-IV, no (0%) nurse was diagnosed with anorexia nervosa; 12 (3.2%) nurses were diagnosed with bulimia nervosa; 13 (3.5%) nurses were diagnosed with binge eating; and five (1.4%) were diagnosed with eating disorder NOS.

# **Discussion**

# Prevalence of anorexia nervosa

Although there were 164 nurses with low BMI and more than 50% of the total sample scored above the cutoff point of 20 in the EAT 26 scale, anorexia nervosa was not diagnosed in any case. This may be attributed to many factors, the first among which is the relatively old age of the sample as it was noticed that some Saudi nurses had completed their education after a delay either because of early marriage or because of recurrent years of failure. However, there was high prevalence of other eating disorders in our sample. Second, anorexia nervosa was regarded by many authors as a culture-bound syndrome prevalent in western communities and rare outside these cultures. Kirmayer [18] reported that eating disorders have historically been described as illnesses diagnosed in young, white, educated women with high socioeconomic status living in the western world. This assumption has now been refuted by numerous reports of eating disorders in nonwestern populations. It has now been suggested that eating disorders are 'culture reactive' [19], affecting individuals experiencing a culture change. Vulnerability in this model is tied less to the pursuit of a thin ideal and more to the erosion of traditional values [20]. Third, the degree of westernization is not so severe in Medina and this may also explain the absence of anorexia nervosa. Finally, self-starvation outside religious consideration is not encouraged in Saudi culture and may be regarded as killing of oneself. Point prevalence of anorexia nervosa has been reported to be around 0.3% in American and European studies [21,22] with lifetime prevalence approximating 0.5-0.6% among young women in two large population-based surveys in the USA and Canada [23,24] and reaching 1% in a very large population survey in the Netherlands [25]. Anorexia nervosa was reported to be very rare in other Arab cultures. For example, in Sudan, anorexia nervosa was considered a rare symptom of hysteria [26]. In Egypt, in a survey of university students found only two female students (among 1050 students) with anorexia nervosa who had hysterical personalities and psychopathology related to sex [27].

#### Prevalence of bulimia nervosa and binge eating

In contrast to anorexia, the prevalence of bulimia nervosa in our sample was 3.2%. This high prevalence is similar to the 1-3% lifetime prevalence of bulimia nervosa in three large surveys conducted in New Zealand, USA, and Canada [28–30], but in contrast to the low prevalence of 0.6% in the Netherlands [25] and 0.5% reported by Preti et al. [31]. Lower prevalence of bulimia has also been reported in samples including adolescent respondents: from 0.2% among 2544 students aged 13–18 years in the USA [32] to 0.5% in Hong Kong (N = 1020 students) among 17–24-year-olds [33]. In other Arab cultures, Nasser [34] made a comparative study of two matched samples of Arab female students attending London and Cairo Universities and found a higher proportion of abnormal eating attitudes in the London sample (22%) compared with the Cairo sample (12%). Moreover, among the 50 London-Arab students, six satisfied diagnostic criteria for bulimia nervosa and five were thought to have a partial syndrome of anorexia nervosa, whereas no cases of anorexia or bulimia nervosa were seen among 60 Cairo students. In a further survey of 351 secondary-school girls in Egypt using the EAT, three (1.2%) cases with Russell's syndrome of bulimia nervosa were reported, a rate that is similar to that obtained in

t. Student's test.

P>0.05 indicates nonsignificance.

<sup>\*</sup>Significant P-value.

P>0.05 indicates nonsignificance.

<sup>\*</sup>Significant P-value.

Table 3 Comparison between the two groups according to the five behavioral items of Eating Attitude Test 26

Items	Group A BMI < 20 (n=164)		Group B BMI $\geq$ 20 ( $n$ = 206)			
	Positive	%	Positive	%	$\chi^2$	Р
Binge eating	33	20	41	20	0.003	> 0.05
Vomiting	45	27	27	13	12	< 0.001*
Use of laxatives or diet pills	28	17	64	31	9.61	< 0.01*
Exercise more than 60 min/day	13	8	21	10	0.57	> 0.05
Lost 20 pounds/6 months	18	11	42	20	5.95	<0.01*

Positive indicates that the symptom is present.

P>0.05 indicates nonsignificance.

Table 4 Comparison between the two groups according to the symptoms and severity subscales of Bulimic Investigatory Test **Edinburgh** 

Scores	n			
	Group A BMI<20 (n=164)	Group B BMI $\geq$ 20 ( $n=206$ )	$\chi^2$	Р
High score (≥20)	22 (13)	27 (13)	0.008	>0.05
medium score (10-19)	27 (16)	43 (21)	1.15	>0.05
Lower score (<10)	115 (70)	136 (66)	0.69	>0.05
Severity (≥5)	9 (5)	12 (6)	0.02	>0.05

P>0.05 indicates nonsignificance.

UK studies [35]. With regard to binge eating disorder, 3.5% of the nurses in our study were diagnosed with binge eating. This rate is similar to the estimated prevalence of 3.3% among women in a large-scale population-based survey conducted through telephone interviews in Austria [36], and with a prevalence of 3.5% in the US National Comorbidity Replication study [37]. This was in contrast to the report by Preti et al. [31] in the Sesto Fiorentino study, in which the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview was administered to 2355 respondents representative of the population aged above 14 years and showed an estimated lifetime prevalence of 0.32% for bulimia nervosa and of 0.32% for binge eating disorder, with the majority of cases being female participants [38]. The high prevalence of bulimia and binge eating seems to confirm the theory put forth by Bulik et al. [39], Hoek and van Hoeken [21], Hudson et al. [37], and Kendler et al. [30] that there is increase in the prevalence of eating disorders across time, particularly in the second half of the 20th century. This high prevalence of bulimia and binge eating in our study may be attributed to multiple stresses encountered by the female population in Saudi culture – for example, they are unable to move freely outside their homes or to drive cars, and are sometimes obliged to discontinue their education for early marriage and are frequently exposed to divorce. Another important stress factor in our sample is the transitional period experienced by these nurses at the beginning of both adulthood and occupational life.

#### Eating disorders NOS

The prevalence of eating disorders NOS in our study was 1.4%. This was similar to the finding of Krizbai [40] in Romania who reported a prevalence of 1.2% for both subclinical anorexia nervosa and subclinical bulimia nervosa in a Hungarian female sample. However, the author found a higher prevalence of 2.6% for both

subclinical anorexia nervosa and subclinical bulimia nervosa in a Romanian female sample in the same study.

#### Eating Attitude Test 26 scale and its behavioral items

As regards the EAT 26 scale and its subscales, the bulimic and oral control subscales were significantly high in group A with low BMI but no difference was found between the two groups in the dieting subscale. This may be attributed to the greater concern about body weight in the younger age group. In a similar study about anorexia nervosa in Ghana, Bennett et al. [41] reported that the oral control subscale score was statistically high in the very low BMI group (<17 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) but no significant difference could be detected in the other subscales and in the total median score of the scale.

With regard to the behavioral items of the EAT 26 scale, they were common among nurses of both groups, except for exercise. Laxatives and diet pills were the most commonly used methods to control body weight. Group A resorted to vomiting to control their weight significantly more often than did group B. Use of laxatives or diet pills and loss of more than 20 pounds of their body weight in the last 6 months were more represented in group B. This may be explained by the high prevalence of bulimia nervosa in our sample as bulimic patients use these methods to control their body weight more often than do anorexic patients. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of binge eating and exercise. In a similar study by Mendonça Vilela et al. [16] in Brazil, the abuse of laxatives, self-induced vomiting, pills, and diuretics were also quite common among students with a possible diagnosis of bulimia, but self-induced vomiting was the most widely used method to control weight.

#### **Bulimic Investigatory Test Edinburgh scale**

As regards the BITE scale, more than one-fourth of group A and more than one-third of group B had a possible score for the diagnosis of bulimia nervosa without significant difference

<sup>\*</sup>Significant P-value.

between the two groups in high, medium, or low scores on the symptom subscale. This was in contrast to the finding of Bennett et al. [41] in Ghana, who reported no female student as scoring above the cutoff point on the same Bulimic scale. This may be explained by the high cutoff point of 25 used in their study. With regard to the age of the two groups, the mean age of the two groups was relatively high because of the increased number of dropout years during education. But group A with low BMI was significantly younger than group B with normal or high BMI. This may be explained by the ability of the younger group to control their body weight more than the older group.

## Conclusion and recommendations

Although anorexia nervosa was not found, bulimia nervosa, binge eating, and eating disorders NOS were common among female nurses in Medina. Other abnormal eating behaviors are extremely common. These disorders may have a lot of complications and require the development of specific strategies for early detection and proper management.

#### Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this study is the first on eating disorders among female nurses in Saudi Arabia. Valid and reliable screening tests and interviews were applied for a relatively large number of nurses. However, nurses with normal and high BMI were considered as one group. No comparison was made between eating disorders and others. The results cannot be generalized all over the country except after replication.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the female nurses for their participation, and Dr Baher Ismail, consultant psychiatry at Alamal Psychiatric Complex Medina, for his help.

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Cooper MJ. Cognitive theory in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: progress, development and future directions. Clin Psychol Rev 2005; 25, 511-531.
- 2 Brooks S, Prince A, Stahl D, Campbell IC, Treasure J. A systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive bias to food stimuli in people with disordered eating behaviour. Clin Psychol Rev 2011; 31: 37-51.
- 3 Frude N. Understanding abnormal psychology. Wiley-Blackwell; 1998.
- Attia E. Anorexia nervosa: current status and future directions. Annu Rev Med 2010; 61:425-435.
- 5 Shiltz T. CADIII, Rogers, Memorial Hospital. Research on males and eating disorders. National eating disorders association: USA; 2014.
- 6 Keel PK, Haedt A. Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for eating problems and eating disorders. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 2008; 37: 39-61.
- 7 Hay PJ, Claudino AM. Bulimia nervosa. Clin Evid 2010; 2010:1009.
- 8 Smink FR, van Hoeken D, Oldehinkel AJ, Hoek HW. Prevalence and severity of DSM-5 eating disorders in a community cohort of adolescents. Int J Eat Disord 2014; 47: 610-619.
- Kamata K, Nogami Y, Momma K. Binge-eating among female students. Jpn J Psychiatry Neurol 1987; 41:151-152.
- 10 Szweda S, Thorne P. The prevalence of eating disorders in female health care students. Occup Med (Lond) 2002; 52: 113-119.
- 11 Trusswell S. ABC of nutrition, London; BMJ Books; 2003.

- 12 Al-Subaie A, Al-Shammari S, Bamgboye E, Al-Sabhan K, Al-Shehri S, Bannah AR. Validity of the Arabic version of the Eating Attitude Test. Int J Eat Disord 1996; 20:321-324.
- Garner DM, Garfinkel PE. The Eating Attitudes Test: an index of the symptoms of anorexia nervosa. Psychol Med 1979; 9: 273-279.
- Attia RG, Boussetta A, Ghazali I, Zalila H, Fakhfakh R, Validation d'une version arabe du EAT40 et du bite en Tunisie [Validation of an Arabic version of the EAT 40 and the cock in Tunisia]. The Méd 2008; 84:761-769.
- Henderson M, Freeman CPL. A self-rating scale for bulimia: the 'BITE'. Br J Psychiatry 1987; 150:18-24.
- Mendonca Vilela JE, Lamounier JA, Dellaretti Filho MA, Barros Neto JR. Horta GM. Eating disorders in school children. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2004; 80: 49-54
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Benjamin LS. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders. Washington: American Psychiatric Publishing Inc.; 1997.
- Kirmayer L Anorexia nervosa as a culture bound syndrome by Leslie Swaptz. Mim eo graphed (1984). Transcult Psychiatry 1985; 22:205-207.
- Di Nicola V. Anorexia multiform: self-starvation in historical and cultural context. Transcult Psychiatr Res Rev 1990; 27:245-286.
- Le Grange D, Louw J, Breen A, Katzman MA. The meaning of 'self-starvation' in impoverished black adolescents in South Africa. Cult Med Psychiatry 2004; 28: 439-461.
- 21 Hoek HW, van Hoeken D. Review of the prevalence and incidence of eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord 2003; 34: 383-396.
- Favaro A, Ferrara S, Santonastaso P. The spectrum of eating disorders in young women: a prevalence study in a general population sample. Psychosom Med 2003; 65: 701-708.
- Garfinkel PE, Lin E, Goering P, Spegg C, Goldbloom D, Kennedy S, et al. Should amenorrhoea be necessary for the diagnosis of anorexia nervosa? Evidence from a Canadian community sample. Br J Psychiatry 1996; 168:500-506
- Walters EE, Kendler KS. Anorexia nervosa and anorexic-like syndromes in a population-based female twin sample. Am J Psychiatry 1995; 152: 64-71.
- 25 Bijl RV, Ravelli A, van Zessen G. Prevalence of psychiatric disorder in the general population: results of the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1998; 33: 587-595.
- 26 Elsarrag ME. Psychiatry in the Northern Sudan: a study in comparative psychiatry. Br J Psychiatry 1968; 114: 945-948.
- Okasha A, Kamel M, Sadek A, Lotaiř ZB. Psychiatric morbidity among university students in Egypt. Br J Psychiatry 1977; 131:: 149-154.
- Bushnell JA, Wells JE, Hornblow AR, Oakley-Browne MA, Joyce P. Prevalence of three bulimia syndromes in the general population. Psychol Med 1990; 20: 671-680.
- Garfinkel PE, Lin E, Goering P, Spegg C, Goldbloom DS, Kennedy S, et al. Bulimia nervosa in a Canadian community sample: prevalence and comparison of subgroups. Am J Psychiatry 1995; 152: 1052-1058.
- 30 Kendler KS, MacLean C, Neale M, Kessler R, Heath A, Eaves L. The genetic epidemiology of bulimia nervosa. Am J Psychiatry 1991; 148: 1627-1637.
- 31 Preti A, Girolamo Gd, Vilagut G, Alonso J, Graaf Rd, Bruffaerts R, et al. The epidemiology of eating disorders in six European countries: results of the ESEMeD-WMH project. J Psychiatr Res 2009; 43:1125-1132.
- Whitaker A, Johnson J, Shaffer D, Rapoport JL, Kalikow K, Walsh BT, et al. Uncommon troubles in young people: prevalence estimates of selected psychiatric disorders in a nonreferred adolescent population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1990; 47:487–496.
- 33 Lee S. How abnormal is the desire for slimness? A survey of eating attitudes and behaviour among Chinese undergraduates in Hong Kong. Psychol Med 1993; 23:437-451.
- 34 Nasser M. Comparative study of the prevalence of abnormal eating attitudes among Arab female students of both London and Cairo universities. Psychol Med 1986: 16:621-625.
- Abou-Saleh MT, Younis Y, Karim L. Anorexia nervosa in an Arab culture. Int J Eat Disord 1998; 23:207-212.
- Kinzl JF, Traweger C, Trefalt E, Mangweth B, Biebl W. Binge eating disorder in 36 males: a population-based investigation. Eat Weight Disord 1999; 4:169-174.
- Hudson JI, Hiripi E, Pope HG Jr, Kessler RC. The prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Biol Psychiatry 2007; 61:348-358.
- Faravelli C, Ravaldi C, Truglia E, Zucchi T, Cosci F, Ricca V. Clinical epidemiology of eating disorders: results from the Sesto Fiorentino study. Psychother Psychosom 2006; 75:376-383.
- Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Tozzi F, Furberg H, Lichtenstein P, Pedersen NL. Prevalence, heritability, and prospective risk factors for anorexia nervosa. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006; 63:305-312.
- Krizbai T. An epidemiological study of eating disorders among high school students in Romania. J Cogn Behav Psychother 2010; 10: 77-86.
- Bennett D, Sharpe M, Freeman C, Carson A. Anorexia nervosa among female secondary school students in Ghana. Br J Psychiatry 2004; 185:312-317.

# الملخص العربي

معدل انتشار إضطرابات الأكل وبعض انجاهاته في الممرضات رمضان عبد البر برانيا حامد إياسر ريا ، محمد عبد الغنى الحمد حافظ قسم الطب النفسي كلية الطب حجامعة الزقازيق قسم الطب النفسي كلية الطب بنات حجامعة الأزهر وحدة الطب النفسى كلية الطب حجامعة القصيم مجمع الامل للصحة النفسية المدينة المنورة

الهدف من البحث : - در اسة معدل انتشار اضطرابات الأكل و يعض خصائصه في الممرضات مادة البحث وطريقته : الممرضات الاتي تم تدريبهن خلال ثلاث سنوات في مجمع الامل والطب النفسي بالمدينة المنورة في المملكة : العربية استعودية مثلوا مادة البحث

قسمت الممرضات إلى قسمين: القسم الأول والذي حصل على درجة أقل من عشرين على مؤشر كثة الجسم. والقسم الثاني والذي حصل على درجة عشرين أو أكثر على مؤشر كثلة الجسم. تم إخضاعهن إلى مرحلتين من مراحل البحث في المرحلة الأولى تم استخدام مقياسين هما مقياس الحِاهات الأكل 26 والثاني مقياس شرد الأكل العصبي. والممرضات اللاتي حصلن على الدرجة القطعية أو أكثر في أي من الاختبارين تم تطبيق المرحلة الثانية عليهن و هي عبارة عن مقابلة نفسية خاصة تشخيص اضطر ابات الأكل تبعا للتفسيم الأمريكي الرابع للاضطرابات النفسية نتاتج البحث وجد أنه لا توجد حالات فقدان عصبي للشهية () %. بينما شخصت اثنتا عشرة حالة من النهم العصبي ومثل هذا العدد نسبة 3.2%. وشخصت ثلاثة عشر حالة من اصطرابات الأكل بشراهة ومثل هذا العدد نسبة 3.5%. وشخصت خمس حالات من اضطر ابات الأكل الغير محددة ومثل هذا العدد نسبة 1.4% وجد أن المجموعة ذات الكثلة المنخفضة حصلت على درجة أكبر وذات دلالة إحصائية على أثنين من المقاييس الفراعية لمقياس اتجاهات الأكل وهما مقياس التغنية والنهم أما بالنعبة لمقياس النهم فلم توجد فروق ذات دلالة إحصائية بين المجموعتين على أي من مقياسية الفرعيين. التوصيات توصي الدراسة بسرعة تشخيص هذه الحالات و علاجها تفاديا للمضاعفات.